1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 EDDIE A. TILLMAN, No. C 12-2807 LHK (PR) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE 13 A DISPOSITIVE MOTION vs. 14 OFFICER BOSTICK, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff, a California state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action under 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983, against officers at the Antioch Police Department. On September 14, 2012, the 19 Court found that Plaintiff stated a cognizable claim for relief against Defendant Bostick, and 20 ordered service upon him. The claim against Defendant Harger was dismissed. (Doc. No. 4.) 21 Defendant Bostick was directed to file an answer or a dispositive motion within 90 days. (Id.) 22 On November 16, 2012, Defendant Bostick filed an answer. (Doc. No. 7.) Defendant Bostick 23 now seeks an extension of time in which to file a dispositive motion. (Doc. No. 8.) 24 Good causing showing, Defendant Bostick's motion for an extension of time is 25 GRANTED. Defendant shall file a dispositive motion no later than March 13, 2013. Plaintiff 26 27 28

¹The Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate Defendant Harger on the Court docket pursuant to the Court's order dated September 14, 2012. (Doc. No. 4.)

shall file an opposition within twenty-eight (28) days of the filing of the dispositive motion. Any reply by Defendant shall be filed fourteen (14) days thereafter. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 12/17/12 United States District Judge

Order Granting Extension of Time to File Dispositive Motion G: $\label{limits} $$G:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\CR.12\Tillman807_eot-dispo.wpd 2$$